

Penrose Limits of Orbifolds and Orientifolds

Emmanuel Floratos^{1,2} and Alex Kehagias^{1,3}

¹*Institute of Nuclear Physics, N.C.R.P.S. Democritos, GR-15310, Athens, GREECE*

²*Nuclear and Particle Physics Sector, Univ. of Athens, GR-15771 Athens, GREECE*

³*Physics Department, NTUA, GR-15773 Zografou, Athens, GREECE*

E-mail: manolis@mail.democritos.gr, kehagias@mail.cern.ch

ABSTRACT: We study the Penrose limit of various $AdS_p \times S^q$ orbifolds. The limiting spaces are waves with parallel rays and singular wave fronts. In particular, we consider the orbifolds $AdS_3 \times S^3/\Gamma$, $AdS_5 \times S^5/\Gamma$ and $AdS_{4,7} \times S^{7,4}/\Gamma$ where Γ acts on the sphere and/or the AdS factor. In the pp-wave limit the wave fronts are the orbifolds $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$, $\mathbb{C}^4/\Gamma$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^4/\Gamma$, respectively. When desingularization is possible, we get asymptotically locally pp-wave backgrounds (ALpp). The Penrose limit of orientifolds are also discussed. In the $AdS_5 \times \mathbb{RP}^5$ case, the limiting singularity can be resolved by an Eguchi-Hanson gravitational instanton. The pp-wave limit of D3-branes near singularities in F-theory is also presented. Finally, we give the embedding of D-dimensional pp-waves in flat $M^{2,D}$ space.

KEYWORDS: D-branes, AdS/CFT, pp-waves, orbifolds, orientifolds.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Penrose limit of $AdS_p \times S^q$	2
2.1 $AdS_3 \times S^3$	3
2.2 $AdS_5 \times S^5$	4
2.3 $AdS_4 \times S^7$	4
2.4 $AdS_7 \times S^4$	5
3. Penrose limits of $AdS_p \times S^q$ orbifolds	5
3.1 $AdS_3 \times S^3$ orbifolds	6
3.2 Orbifolds of $AdS_5 \times S^5$	8
3.3 $AdS_4 \times S^7$ orbifolds	11
3.4 $AdS_7 \times S^4$ orbifolds	12
4. pp-waves from $AdS_5 \times S^5$ orientifold	12
5. Conclusions	14
A. Embedding the pp-waves in higher dimensions	14
B. A desingularized $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$ space	16

1. Introduction

Plane wave backgrounds with parallel rays (pp-waves) are known to be exact string solutions [1],[2]. Such backgrounds have been reconsidered recently [3],[4],[5] since they share the similar properties with flat and $AdS_p \times S^q$ spaces (e.g. maximal supersymmetry). The pp-wave background we will discuss can be considered as the Penrose limit [7] of AdS spaces [3],[4],[5],[8],[9]. The limit is particular and amounts in boosting around maximal cycles in S^q and then flatten the resulting background by taking the standard large radius limit of both AdS_p and S^q . This limit has also a well defined action on the superalgebras of $AdS_p \times S^q$. The latter are members of infinite sequence of superalgebras usually denoted by $OSp(N|M)$ and $SU(N|M)$ (There is also the isolated $F(4)$ superalgebra corresponding to AdS_6) [10]. The superalgebras of the limiting pp-wave backgrounds of $AdS_p \times S^q$ can be constructed by contraction of the of $OSp(N|M)$ or $SU(N|M)$. An explicit demonstration of this has been carried out in [11] for the $SU(2,2|4)$ superalgebra.

The same limits can also be considered in 11D $AdS_{4,7} \times S^{7,4}$ M-theory backgrounds. Here, the resulting pp-wave has been constructed in the past [6] and has recently been recovered [3]. An interesting aspect of all these constructions is that the Penrose limit preserves all supersymmetries of the original background. Thus, the resulting pp-waves are maximally supersymmetric. The fact that maximally supersymmetric space-times have played a central role in understanding string and M-theory explains in part the recent interest in these pp-wave backgrounds. Additional support for studying them, came from the recent proposal of Berenstein, Maldacena and Nastase (BMN) [9] that the string spectrum on a pp-wave background arises from the large N limit of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory in 4d. This has been demonstrated by summing a subset of planar diagrams and it is a very interesting extension of the original AdS/CFT correspondence as it involves massive string modes. The large N limit that has been employed is not just large 't Hooft coupling $g_{YM}^2 N \rightarrow \infty$ but also fixed $g_{eff}^2 = g_{YM}^2 N / J^2$ (J is a global charge). Then, correlation functions for operators of scaling dimension Δ are calculated in large 't Hooft coupling, fixed g_{eff} and finite $\Delta - J$.

Here, we consider various orbifolds of $AdS_p \times S^q$ and their pp-wave limit. As these orbifolds have less supersymmetry, the corresponding pp-waves will also have less supersymmetries provided that the singularities are not washed out in the limit. The spectrum on these backgrounds should then arise from the corresponding SCFTs in the BMN limit. The same can be done for AdS orientifolds and we present the pp-wave limits of the near horizon of D3-branes on O3-plane as well as in F-theory (at constant coupling) [12],[13], [14].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we describe the Penrose limits of $AdS_p \times S^q$ backgrounds which occur in string and M-theory. In section 3 we construct various orbifolds of the backgrounds presented in the previous section and we discuss their possible desingularizations. In section 4, we present the pp-wave limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ orientifold. Finally, in appendix A we give the embedding of the D-dimensional pp-wave in flat $M^{2,D-1}$ space, while in appendix B we describe the ALE space $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$ we used as an example in section 3.

2. Penrose limit of $AdS_p \times S^q$

AdS spaces arise in many instances in string and M-theory. In fact, supersymmetric AdS_p backgrounds are possible, according to [10], for $p = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$. They appear as vacuum of 10 and 11 dimensional supergravity as well as the near horizon limit of D-brane and M-brane configurations (See [17] for a review). Keeping the discussion as general as possible,

let us recall the Penrose limit of $AdS_p \times S^q$, consider first in [4]. The metric of $AdS_p \times S^q$ is

$$ds^2 = R_A^2 (d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\Omega_{p-2}^2 - \cosh^2 \rho dt^2) + R_S^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_{q-2}^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\psi^2) \quad (2.1)$$

where R_A, R_S are the radius of AdS_p and S^q , respectively. We will consider the limiting geometry seen by a fast moving observer in the ψ direction at $\rho = 0, \theta = 0$. For this, we introduce the coordinates

$$x^+ = \frac{t + \alpha\psi}{2}, \quad x^- = R_A^2 \left(\frac{t - \alpha\psi}{2} \right), \quad x = R_A \rho, \quad y = R_S \theta, \quad \alpha = \frac{R_S}{R_A} \quad (2.2)$$

in the metric (2.1) and then take the limit $R_{A,S} \rightarrow \infty$, keeping α finite. The resulting space-time is non singular and its metric is

$$ds_P^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - (x^2 + \alpha^{-2} y^2) dx^{+2} + dx^2 + x^2 d\Omega_{p-2}^2 + dy^2 + y^2 d\Omega_{q-2}^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Next, we will examine each possible AdS -geometries appear in string and M-theory (except the AdS_2 and AdS_6 cases).

2.1 $AdS_3 \times S^3$

This background appears in the near horizon geometry of the D1/D5 system [18]. The 10D geometry is $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M^4$ and the D5-branes are wrapped on M^4 . In order that supersymmetry is preserved, M^4 is either T^4 or K^3 for 8 or 4 surviving supersymmetries. Omitting the irrelevant for the discussion M^4 factor, the bosonic symmetry of this background is $SO(2, 2) \times SO(4)$ which is the bosonic part of the 2D SCFT living in the boundary of AdS_3 [19]. The metric is

$$ds^2 = R_A^2 (d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\phi^2 - \cosh^2 \rho dt^2) + R_S^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\chi^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\psi^2), \quad (2.4)$$

with $R_A = R_S$. Defining the coordinates as in (2.2) and taking the $R_A \rightarrow \infty$ limit, we end up with the pp-wave

$$ds_P^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 (x^2 + y^2) dx^{+2} + dx^2 + x^2 d\phi^2 + dy^2 + y^2 d\chi^2$$

$$H_{+34} = H_{+56} = 2\mu, \quad (2.5)$$

where H is the RR three-form of the D1/D5 system. It is evident that the pp-wave front $x^+ = \text{const.}$ is flat 4D Euclidean space.

2.2 $AdS_5 \times S^5$

The $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background is by far the most celebrated one. It is realized as the near horizon limit of N coincident D3-branes and it is maximally supersymmetric (32 supersymmetries). $AdS_5 \times S^5$ with N units of five-form flux is conjectured to be the supergravity dual of $SU(N)$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory at large N [19]. The bosonic symmetry of the background is $SO(4, 2) \times SO(6)$. The $SO(4, 2)$ part is realized as the conformal symmetry of the $SU(N)$ $\mathcal{N}$ gauge theory while $SO(6)$ is the R-symmetry. Starting from the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ metric

$$ds^2 = R^2 (d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\Omega_3^2 - \cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_2^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\psi^2) , \quad (2.6)$$

the geometry seen by a fast moving observer in the ψ direction sitting at $\rho = 0$, $\theta = 0$ can be obtained, according to eq.(2.2), by introducing the coordinates

$$x^+ = \frac{t + \psi}{2} , \quad x^- = R^2 \left(\frac{t - \psi}{2} \right) , \quad x = R\rho , \quad y = R\theta , \quad (2.7)$$

and then take the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$. The resulting non-singular space-time metric and the surviving RR self-dual five-form are ¹

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 \vec{r}^2 dx^{+2} + d\vec{r}^2 , \\ F_{+1234} &= F_{+5678} = 4\mu \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $\vec{r}^2 = \vec{x}^2 + \vec{y}^2$. Clearly, the wave front is flat 8D Euclidean space. The type IIB superstring in the above background has been argued to be exactly solvable, described by free massive fields [20], [21], [22].

2.3 $AdS_4 \times S^7$

This geometry appears in the near horizon of N coincident M2-branes in M-theory. It is the supergravity dual of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ 3D SCFT living on the M2 worldvolume. The bosonic symmetry of the supergravity background is $SO(3, 2) \times SO(8)$. As usual, the $SO(3, 2)$ is identified with the conformal symmetry of the boundary SCFT while the $SO(8)$ part is the R-symmetry group. Starting from the $AdS_4 \times S^7$ metric

$$ds^2 = R_A^2 (d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\Omega_2^2 - \cosh^2 \rho dt^2) + R_S^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_5^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\psi^2) , \quad (2.9)$$

¹This is exactly the metric on the group manifold of the 10D Heisenberg group [23]. It is a generalization of the Nappi-Witten background [24] with RR fields instead of NS/NS antisymmetric 2-form. It can also be considered as a particular construction of a WZW model [25].

where $R_S = 2R_A$, the Penrose limit is obtained by defining

$$x^+ = \frac{1}{2}(t + 2\psi), \quad x^- = \frac{R_A^2}{2}(t - 2\psi), \quad x = R_A\rho, \quad y = 2R_A\theta, \quad (2.10)$$

and letting $R_A \rightarrow \infty$. The resulting space-time metric and 4-form are

$$ds_P^2 = -4dx^+dx^- - \mu^2(x^2 + \frac{1}{4}y^2)dx^{+2} + dx^2 + x^2d\Omega_2^2 + dy^2 + y^2d\Omega_5^2, \\ F_4 = 3\mu dx^+ \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \quad (2.11)$$

so that the near horizon of M2-branes has been turned into pp-waves with flat wave fronts.

2.4 $AdS_7 \times S^4$

Similarly to the above, this geometry is realized in the near horizon limit of N coincident M5 branes in M-theory. They describe the supergravity duals of large N 6D SCFT. The bosonic symmetry of this background is $SO(6, 2) \times SO(5)$ which is the bosonic part of the 6D SCFT. The metric of $AdS_7 \times S^4$ is

$$ds^2 = R_A^2(d\rho^2 + \sinh^2\rho d\Omega_5^2 - \cosh^2\rho dt^2) + R_S^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\Omega_2^2 + \cos^2\theta d\psi^2). \quad (2.12)$$

Here, $R_A = 2R_S$ and the limit $R_A \rightarrow \infty$ after the transformation

$$x^+ = \frac{1}{2}\left(t + \frac{\psi}{2}\right), \quad x^- = \frac{R_A^2}{2}\left(t - \frac{\psi}{2}\right), \quad x = R_A\rho, \quad y = \frac{R_A}{2}\theta, \quad (2.13)$$

leads to the pp-wave background and 4-form

$$ds_P^2 = -4dx^+dx^- - (x^2 + 4y^2)dx^{+2} + dx^2 + x^2d\Omega_5^2 + dy^2 + y^2d\Omega_2^2, \\ F_4 = 6\mu dx^+ \wedge dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge dy^3. \quad (2.14)$$

In fact, the pp-wave limits of both $AdS_4 \times S^7$ and $AdS^7 \times S^4$ in Eqs.(2.11) and (2.14), respectively, are the same (as can be seen by the transformation $x \leftrightarrow y$, $x^+ \rightarrow x^+/2$) and the two maximally supersymmetric backgrounds (2.9) and (2.12) have the unique maximally supersymmetric pp-wave background (2.11) (or (2.14)) found in [6].

3. Penrose limits of $AdS_p \times S^q$ orbifolds

Branes can be put at conifold [26], [27], [28] or orbifold singularities [29], [30], [31]. The near horizon limit of such configurations lead to either singular or non-singular spaces. Here we will examine orbifolds of $AdS_p \times S^q$ and we will find their pp-wave limit. The corresponding limit at the conifold has been studied in [32], [33], [34]. The type of orbifold theories we will consider are such that they lead to singularities on the wave front of the pp-wave. Some of these singularities may be resolved to a smooth Ricci flat space (leading not to flat wave fronts but rather to Ricci flat ones) and some not. We will discuss each case of AdS background separately.

3.1 $AdS_3 \times S^3$ orbifolds

This background may be embedded in 8D space-time $M^{2,6}$ with metric (in an obvious complex notation)

$$ds_8^2 = -|dZ_0|^2 + |dZ_1|^2 + |dZ_2|^2 + |dZ_3|^2, \quad (3.1)$$

as the hypersurface

$$|Z_0|^2 - |Z_1|^2 = R^2, \quad |Z_2|^2 + |Z_3|^2 = R^2. \quad (3.2)$$

The parametrization

$$Z_0 = R \cosh \rho e^{it} \quad Z_1 = R \sinh \rho e^{i\phi}, \quad Z_2 = R \cos \theta e^{i\psi} \quad Z_3 = R \sin \theta e^{i\chi}, \quad (3.3)$$

leads directly to the metric (2.4). Many orbifolds of the hypersurface (3.2) may be taken. For example, we may consider the $\mathbb{Z}_k$ action

$$Z_3 \rightarrow e^{2\pi i/k} Z_3,$$

which has fixed points the cycle $|Z_2|^2 = R^2$. The pp-wave limit is given again by eq.(2.5). The only difference is the periodicity of χ which instead being 2π is now $2\pi/k$. As a result, the wave front has been turned from $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the $AdS_3 \times S^3$, to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_k$ in the $AdS_3 \times S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$ case. There exist a conical singularity (at $y = 0$ in (2.5)) which, however, cannot be resolved. An example, where the singularity can be resolved is provided by the orbifold action

$$\mathbb{Z}_k : Z_3 \rightarrow e^{2\pi i/k} Z_3, \quad Z_1 \rightarrow e^{-2\pi i/k} Z_1. \quad (3.4)$$

Here, the pp-wave limit is again given by the metric in (2.5), where now the periodicities of both ϕ, χ are $2\pi/k$. (Orbifolds of the AdS factor has been considered in AdS/CFT correspondence in [35], [36]). In fact, the action (3.4) identifies $(\phi, \chi) \equiv (\phi + 2\pi/k, \chi + 2\pi/k)$. Thus, the wave front is $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_k$, which can be resolved by an ALE space.

Replacing $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ by an ALE space is not the end of the story. We should also make sure that supergravity equations are satisfied. We will do this below for the general case by looking for background with metric and three-form RR field of the form

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - S(x^i) dx^{+2} + g_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ H_{+ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijmn} H_+{}^{mn}, \quad (3.5)$$

where g_{ij} is the metric of a 4D-dimensional wave-front space K^4 . The Ricci tensor is

$$R_{++} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^i \nabla_i S, \quad R_{ij} = R_{ij}(g), \quad (3.6)$$

so that the Einstein equations

$$R_{MN} = \frac{1}{4} \left(H_{MKL} H_N{}^{KL} - \frac{1}{12} H^2 g_{MN} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \nabla^i \nabla_i S &= \frac{1}{4} H_{+mn} H_+{}^{mn}, \\ R_{ij}(g) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

In the Penrose limit of $(AdS_3 \times S^3)/\mathbb{Z}_k$ with $\mathbb{Z}_k$ as in eq.(3.4), H_{MNP} is given by eq.(2.5) so that

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 S(x) dx^{+2} + g_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (3.9)$$

where g_{ij} is the metric of the ALE space. S is determined by the equation

$$\nabla^i \nabla_i S = 8. \quad (3.10)$$

In the case of an $AdS_3 \times S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold, the singular $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ can be replaced by the Eguchi-Hanson gravitational instanton with metric

$$ds_{EH}^2 = \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{a^4}{r^4}} + r^2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + r^2 \left(1 - \frac{a^4}{r^4} \right) \sigma_3^2. \quad (3.11)$$

The solution to eq.(3.10) for the EH metric then leads to the pp-wave limit of $(AdS_3 \times S^3)/\mathbb{Z}_2$

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 \left(r^2 + \frac{a^2}{2} \ln \left(\frac{r^2 - a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \right) dx^{+2} + ds_{EH}^2. \quad (3.12)$$

Clearly, at $r \rightarrow \infty$, the wave fronts of (3.12) become $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_k$ as it should. In the case of $\mathbb{Z}_k \subset SU(2)$, $(k > 2)$, $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_k$ should be replaced by the Gibbons-Hawking multi-center gravitational instanton with metric

$$ds_{GH}^2 = V(d\tau + \vec{\omega} \cdot d\vec{x})^2 + V^{-1} d\vec{x}^2, \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$V^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i|}, \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\omega} = \vec{\nabla} V^{-1}. \quad (3.14)$$

Then, the solution of eq.(3.10) will formally be given as

$$S = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^k \int \frac{d^3 x'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'| |\vec{x}' - \vec{x}_i|}. \quad (3.15)$$

It is clear, that other orbifolds $(AdS_3 \times S^3)/\Gamma$ may be considered, where $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ in order to preserve supersymmetry (such orbifolds preserve half the supersymmetry $AdS_3 \times S^3$ preserves). Their pp-wave limits have then the singular wave fronts $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ which can be made smooth by replacing them (after appropriate modification of the metric as shown above) by an ALE space. Such spaces may be called ALpp spaces as they are asymptotically (in the wave-front sense) locally pp-waves.

3.2 Orbifolds of $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Similarly to $AdS_3 \times S^3$, $AdS_5 \times S^5$ can be embedded in 12D flat space-time $M^{2,10}$ with metric

$$ds_{12}^2 = -dX_0^2 + dX_1^2 + \dots + dX_{10}^2 - dX_{11}^2, \quad (3.16)$$

as the hypersurface

$$X_0^2 - X_1^2 - X_2^2 - X_3^2 - X_4^2 + X_{11}^2 = R^2, \quad (3.17)$$

$$|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2 + |Z_3|^2 = R^2, \quad (3.18)$$

where $Z_1 = X_5 + iX_6$, $Z_2 = X_7 + iX_8$, $Z_3 = X_9 + iX_{10}$. The parametrization of (3.17)

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= R \cosh \rho \cos t & X_{11} &= R \cosh \rho \sin t \\ X_a &= R \sinh \rho \Omega_a, & (a = 1, \dots, 4, \quad \sum_a \Omega_a^2 = 1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

with $0 \leq \rho < \infty$ and $0 \leq t < 2\pi$ covers the whole of the (3.17) hyperboloid. Together with the angular coordinates Ω_a , which parametrize a unit S^3 , are the global coordinates of the AdS_5 . Similarly, the S^5 can be parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= R \cos \theta e^{i\psi}, & Z_2 &= R \sin \theta U_1, \\ Z_3 &= R \sin \theta U_2, & |U_1|^2 + |U_2|^2 &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where $0 \leq \theta < \pi/2$, $0 \leq \psi < 2\pi$ and the complex $U_{1,2}$ form a unit S^3 . We may act now with a discrete subgroup Γ of the isometry group $SO(6) \sim SU(4)$ of S^5 . There are two distinct cases which preserve supersymmetry [31], [37]. The first one is when $\Gamma \subset SU(3) \subset SU(4)$ and leads to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(N)$ gauge theory. The second case is when $\Gamma \subset SU(2) \subset SU(4)$ with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry. Both cases have the same Penrose limit. For concreteness, we will consider a $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ acting on the coordinates Z_2, Z_3 . The Γ action fixes the S^1 in S^5

$$|Z_1|^2 = 1 \quad Z_2 = Z_3 = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

and thus S^5/Γ is a singular orbifold. In the parametrization (3.20), Γ acts freely on S^3 forming the space S^3/Γ . The latter degenerates at $\theta = 0$ fixing the singular S^1 in eq.(3.21) with coordinate ψ . The Penrose limit (2.2) can now be taken leading to a pp-wave background

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -4dx^+dx^- - \mu^2(\vec{x}^2 + \vec{y}^2)dx^{+2} + d\vec{x}^2 + dy^2 + y^2 d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2, \\ F_{+1234} &= F_{+5678} = 4\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2$ is the metric on S^3/Γ . There exists a singularity at $y = 0$ and the Penrose limit of the $AdS_5 \times S_5/\Gamma$ produces the singular wave fronts $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$. As orbifolding by Γ breaks half the supersymmetries, the Penrose limit of $AdS_5 \times S_5/\Gamma$ also breaks half, leading to 16 surviving supersymmetries. We may blow up the singularity at $y = 0$ by replacing $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ by an ALE space in such a way that the supergravity equations are still satisfied. Thus, we should look for background with metric and five-form RR field of the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -2dx^+dx^- - S(x^i)dx^{+2} + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 8, \\ F_{+ijkl} &= \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{ijklmnpq} F_+{}^{mnpq}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where g_{ij} is the metric of an 8D-dimensional wave-front K^8 . The Einstein equations

$$R_{MN} = \frac{1}{96} F_{MKLPQ} F_N{}^{KLPQ}, \quad (3.24)$$

reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \nabla^i \nabla_i S &= \frac{1}{96} F_+{}^{mnpq} F_+{}^{mnpq}, \\ R_{ij}(g) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

In the Penrose limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5/\Gamma$, F_{MKLPQ} is still given by eq.(2.8) so that

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+dx^- - \mu^2(\vec{x}^2 + S(y))dx^{+2} + d\vec{x}^2 + g_{\mu\nu}dy^\mu dy^\nu \quad (3.26)$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric on the blow-up $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ and S satisfies again eq.(3.10).

We may also consider orbifold of the AdS_5 space [35], [36]. For example, with $\zeta_0 = X_0 + iX_{11}$, $\zeta_1 = X_1 + iX_2$, $\zeta_2 = x_3 + iX_4$, the AdS hyperboloid (3.17) is written as

$$|\zeta_0|^2 - |\zeta_1|^2 - |\zeta_2|^2 = R^2 \quad (3.27)$$

The ζ 's are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_0 &= R \cosh \rho e^{it}, \quad \zeta_1 = R \sinh \rho V_1, \quad \zeta_2 = R \sinh \rho V_2, \\ |V_1|^2 + |V_2|^2 &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where $V_{1,2}$ parametrize an S^3 . We choose a subgroup $\Gamma' \subset SU(2)$ which acts on ζ_1, ζ_2 . Then Γ' acts freely on the S^3 of (3.28). The fixed point set of Γ' on AdS_5 is the singular S^1

$$|\zeta_0|^2 = R^2, \quad \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = 0$$

The Penrose limit can similarly be taken leading to the singular pp-wave background with wave front $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma' \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2(\vec{x}^2 + \vec{y}^2)dx^{+2} + dx^2 + x^2 d\tilde{\Omega}_3'^2 + dy^2 + y^2 d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2, \quad (3.29)$$

$$F_{+1234} = F_{+5678} = \mu, \quad (3.30)$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_3^2, \tilde{\Omega}_3'^2$ are the metrics on S^3/Γ and S^3/Γ' , respectively. Again, the singularities may be blown up by replacing $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma' \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ by the two ALE spaces $M^4 \times N^4$. Then, the desingularized Penrose limit of $AdS_5/\mathbb{Z}_{k'} \times S^5/\mathbb{Z}_k$ is

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2(S(x) + T(y))dx^{+2} + ds_M^2(x) + ds_N^2(y), \quad (3.31)$$

where $ds_M^2(x), ds_N^2(y)$ are the metrics on M^4, N^4 , respectively whereas S, T satisfy

$$\nabla_{(M)}^2 S = 4, \quad \nabla_{(N)}^2 T = 4. \quad (3.32)$$

It is also possible to consider more general orbifolds of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ geometry. For example consider $(AdS_5 \times S^5)/\mathbb{Z}_k$ defined by the $\mathbb{Z}_k$ action

$$\mathbb{Z}_k: \quad Z_1 \rightarrow e^{2i\pi/k} Z_1, \quad Z_1 \rightarrow e^{-2i\pi/k} Z_1, \quad \zeta_1 \rightarrow e^{2i\pi a/k} \zeta_1, \quad \zeta_2 \rightarrow e^{-2i\pi a/k} \zeta_2, \quad (3.33)$$

where a, k relatively prime. Then, in the pp-wave limit, the singular wave fronts $(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2)/\mathbb{Z}_k$ are obtained, where $\mathbb{Z}_k$ as above. It is known that the singularity in this case is terminal [38], [28]. If $a = 1, k = 2$ we get 16 supersymmetries ($\mathcal{N} = 8$) whereas if $a = \pm 1, k > 2$ there exist only 12 surviving supersymmetries ($\mathcal{N} = 6$). Finally, if $a \neq \pm 1$, we end up with 8 supersymmetries ($\mathcal{N} = 4$). Neither of these singularities have Calabi-Yau resolutions.

The Penrose limits of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ orbifolds are by now more or less clear. Defining the pp-wave limit of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ space by the hypersurface in $M^{2,10}$

$$X_{10} - X_{11} = (X_0 + X_9)^2, \quad (3.34)$$

$$X_{10} + X_{11} = \frac{\mu^2}{8} (X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_8^2), \quad (3.35)$$

as discussed in the appendix, the orbifolds we have considered above are in fact orbifolds of $\mathbb{C}^4$ with coordinates $Z_1, Z_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$ defined above. We have only consider some special

cases of such possible orbifolds. However, it is natural to assume that there exists a larger class of $\mathbb{C}^4$ orbifolds (containing the ones we have discussed above) which are wave fronts of Penrose limits of associated $AdS_5 \times S^5$ orbifolds. By desingularizing the $\mathbb{C}^4$ orbifolds, we may obtain smooth pp-wave limits of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ orbifolds, where the locally flat wave fronts are replaced by Ricci-flat ones of appropriate holonomy in order supersymmetry to be preserved.

3.3 $AdS_4 \times S^7$ orbifolds

As in the previous cases, $AdS_4 \times S^7$ can be embedded in $M^{2,11}$ with metric

$$ds_{12}^2 = -dX_0^2 + dX_1^2 + \dots + dX_{10}^2 + dX_{11}^2 - dX_{12}^2, \quad (3.36)$$

as the hypersurface

$$X_0^2 - X_1^2 - X_2^2 - X_3^2 + X_{12}^2 = R^2, \quad (3.37)$$

$$|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2 + |Z_3|^2 + |Z_4|^2 = 4R^2. \quad (3.38)$$

where $Z_1 = X_4 + iX_5$, $Z_2 = X_6 + iX_7$, $Z_3 = X_8 + iX_9$, $Z_4 = X_{10} + iX_{11}$. The parametrization of (3.37)

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= R \cosh \rho \cos t, & X_{12} &= R \cosh \rho \sin t, \\ X_a &= R \sinh \rho \Omega_a, & (a = 1, \dots, 3, \quad \sum_a \Omega_a^2 = 1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

with $0 \leq \rho < \infty$ and $0 \leq t < 2\pi$ covers the whole of the (3.37) hyperboloid. The angular coordinates Ω_a parametrize a unit S^2 and together with ρ, t are the global coordinates of the AdS_4 . Similarly, the S^7 in eq.(3.38) can be parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= R \cos \theta e^{i\psi}, & Z_a &= R \sin \theta U_a, \quad (a = 1, 2, 3) \\ |U_1|^2 + |U_2|^2 + |U_3|^2 &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

where $0 \leq \theta < \pi/2$, $0 \leq \psi < 2\pi$ and the complex $U_{1,2,3}$ form a unit S^5 .

We may now consider orbifolds $AdS_4 \times S^7/\Gamma$ where $\Gamma \subset SU(4)$ as in [39]. Such orbifolds acts freely on the S^5 of eq.(3.40) but not freely on $AdS_4 \times S^7$ as the hypersurface $\theta = 0$ is the fixed point set of Γ . In order that supersymmetry is preserved, we should take $\Gamma \subset SU(3) \subset SU(4)$ (1/4 supersymmetry) or $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ (1/2 supersymmetry). The pp-wave limit of the above orbifolds is the background (2.8) but now the S^5 metric $d\Omega_5$ is replaced with the metric on S^5/Γ . Thus, the wave fronts are not any more $\mathbb{R}^9$ but $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3/\Gamma$. We may replace in this case the singular $\mathbb{C}^3/\Gamma$ with an ALE space of $SU(3)$ holonomy (for $\Gamma \subset SU(3)$) getting a smooth pp-wave background. The metric is then

$$ds^2 = -2dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 \left((\vec{x}^2 + S(x^i)) dx^{+2} + d\vec{x}^2 + g_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 6, \quad (3.41)$$

where $\vec{x}$ is in $\mathbb{R}^3$, g_{ij} is the metric on the ALE space and $S(x^i)$ satisfies

$$\nabla^i \nabla_i S = 3 \quad (3.42)$$

In the special case where $\Gamma = Z_3 \subset SU(3)$, we may use the ALE space described in the appendix B. In this case, the desingularized pp-wave limit of $AdS_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_3$ turns out to be

$$ds^2 = -2dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 \left(x^2 + \frac{r^2}{4} + \frac{L^2}{24} \ln \left(\frac{L^4 + r^4 - 2L^2 r^2}{L^4 + r^4 + L^2 r^2} \right) + \frac{L^2}{4\sqrt{3}} \left\{ \arctan\left(\frac{2r+L}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) - \arctan\left(\frac{2r-L}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) \right\} \right) dx^{+2} + d\vec{x}^2 + d\sigma^2 \quad (3.43)$$

where $d\sigma^2$ is the metric (B.8). Clearly, at $r \rightarrow \infty$ we recover the $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$ wave fronts.

3.4 $AdS_7 \times S^4$ orbifolds

We may repeat the same procedure as above in the present case as well. We may embed this background in $M^{2,11}$ as before with the role of AdS_4 and S^7 interchanged. There exist only one orbifold of S^4 which preserves supersymmetry. It is the $S^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on the S^2 with metric $d\Omega_2^2$ in eq.(2.12). However, this orbifold is singular at $y = 0$ and it cannot be resolved. Of course, one may consider orbifolds of the AdS_7 factor but we will not go into details as this case is similar to the ones already studied above. We should only mention that although $AdS_4 \times S^7$ and $AdS_7 \times S^4$ have the same Penrose limits, their orbifolds do not share the same property.

4. pp-waves from $AdS_5 \times S^5$ orientifold

The $AdS_5 \times S^5$ orientifold is the near horizon limit of D3-branes at orientifold planes. The study of D3 branes at orientifolds is similar to orbifolds (See for example [40]). The only difference is the twisted sector which is absent for orientifolds. We will first consider the near horizon of D3-branes on an O3-plane. As the O3-plane breaks the same supersymmetries with the D3, in the near horizon we will have again the maximum 32 unbroken supersymmetries. The near horizon geometry is actually $AdS_5 \times \mathbb{RP}^5$ where $\mathbb{RP}^5 = S^5/\mathbb{Z}_2$. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts by identifying opposite points on the S^5 so that there are no fixed points. As a string goes around a non contractible cycle, connecting opposite points in $\mathbb{RP}^5$, it reverses its orientation which is a manifestation of the orientifold projection. There are two types of the orientifold projection. One leads to $SO(2N)$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory for N D3-branes on the O3-plane, while the other leads to an $USp(2N)$ theory. These different string theories are implemented in the $AdS_5 \times \mathbb{RP}^5$ setup by turning on B_{NS-NS} 2-form (discrete torsion)

in the non-trivial cohomology class $H^3(\mathbb{RP}^5, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ [41]. The metric of the $AdS_5 \times \mathbb{RP}^5$ geometry is

$$ds^2 = R^2 \left(d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\Omega_3^2 - \cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\tilde{\psi}^2 \right) \quad (4.1)$$

where $0 \leq \tilde{\psi} < \pi$ and $d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2$ is the metric on $\mathbb{RP}^3 = S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$. The Penrose limit is then

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 (\vec{x}^2 + \vec{y}^2) dx^{+2} + d\vec{x}^2 + dy^2 + y^2 d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2. \quad (4.2)$$

It is clear that the wave fronts have an A_1 singularity and are $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. The singular $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ can be replaced by the Eguchi-Hanson and following the discussion in section 2, the smooth pp-wave limit of $AdS_5 \times \mathbb{RP}^5$ is

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 \left(\vec{x}^2 + r^2 + \frac{a^2}{2} \ln \left(\frac{a-r}{a+r} \right) \right) dx^{+2} + d\vec{x}^2 + ds_{EH}^2 \quad (4.3)$$

where ds_{EH}^2 is the Eguchi-Hanson metric.

Next, we will consider the near horizon limit of N D3-branes on an orientifold O7-plane. We will also put D7-branes sitting together with the D3's so that the dilaton is constant and the low-energy theory is conformal [12],[13], [14]. At generic point in the D7-brane moduli space, we have non-conformal field theories living on the D3-branes. There exist 7 different types of singularities which give rise to constant dilaton. These are the Argyres-Douglas points H_0 , H_1 [15],[16] and H_2 with A_0 , A_1 , and A_2 gauge theories on the D7 and D_4 , E_6 , E_7 and E_8 theories resulting from corresponding singularities in F-theory. Among these singularities, only the D_4 can occur for any value of the dilaton. All the others appear at fixed, order one, string coupling. The resulting field theory of coincident N D3 and D7-branes at a D_4 singularity is $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $USp(2N)$ SYM theory with a hypermultiplet in the anti-symmetric representation and four hypermultiplets in the fundamental. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action has now fixed points on the S^5 . In fact, the fixed point set (where both the O7 and the D7's are) is $AdS_5 \times S^3$. The supergravity description of D3-branes near D7-brane singularities has been described in [43] (for D_4, E_6, E_7, E_8) and the metric can be written as

$$R^{-2} ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\Omega_3^2 - \cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\psi^2 + \cos^2 \theta (d\omega^2 + \sin^2 \omega d\chi^2 + \cos^2 \omega d\phi^2), \quad (4.4)$$

where $0 \leq \theta < \pi/2$ and ϕ is periodic with period $2\pi(1 - \alpha/2)$. The values of α are $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 1, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}$, for A_0 , A_1 , A_2 , D_4 , E_6 , E_7 and E_8 [42]. The B-fields have generally $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ monodromies around the ϕ -circle.

We may now consider the standard Penrose limit as in eq.(2.2). For this we may first replace θ with $\theta - \pi/2$ and then take the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ after the transformation eq.(2.7).

However, this limit leads to the background in eq.(2.8). Moreover, the monodromies of the B-fields are washed out. However, there exist another limit which preserves the singularities. Defining the coordinates

$$x^+ = \frac{t + \psi}{2}, \quad x^- = R^2 \left(\frac{t - \psi}{2} \right), \quad x = R\rho, \quad y = R\theta, \quad w = R\omega \quad (4.5)$$

and taking $R \rightarrow \infty$, we end up with the pp-wave limit of (4.4)

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2(x^2 + y^2 + w^2)dx^{+2} + dx^2 + x^2 d\Omega_3^2 + dy^2 + y^2 d\chi^2 + dw^2 + w^2 d\phi^2. \quad (4.6)$$

This can be written as

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 \left(\vec{r}^2 + \frac{|z|^{2-\alpha}}{(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})^2} \right) dx^{+2} + d\vec{r}^2 + \frac{|dz|^2}{|z|^\alpha}. \quad (4.7)$$

where $\vec{r}$ is in $\mathbb{R}^6$. Clearly then, the wave-front geometry is $\mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_n$ where $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$ for D3-branes near D_4, E_6, E_7 and E_8 singularities in F-theory.

5. Conclusions

We presented above various orbifolds of $AdS_3 \times S^3$, $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $AdS_{4,7} \times S^{7,4}$ as well as orientifolds of $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The limiting pp-waves are in general singular. Some of them can be desingularized by replacing their wave fronts (where the singularities are located) by Ricci flat spaces of appropriate holonomy in order to preserve supersymmetry. There exist two ways to view these orbifolds, either as the limiting spaces of $AdS_p \times S^q/\Gamma$ orbifolds, or as orbifolds of the pp-wave limit of $AdS_p \times S^q$. In the latter case, we recall from appendix A that, in general, a D-dimensional pp-wave background can be embedded in $M^{2,D}$ flat space-time as

$$\begin{aligned} X_D - X_{D+1} &= (X_0 + X_{D-1}) \\ X_D + X_{D+1} &= S(X^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, D-2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

We may then consider a discrete action of $\Gamma \subset SO(D-2)$ on the wave-front coordinates X^i . Depending on the geometry of the wave fronts, these orbifolds may or may not preserve supersymmetry. The orbifolds we have considered here are all supersymmetric.

A. Embedding the pp-waves in higher dimensions

$AdS_5 \times S^5$ which can be embedded in the flat 12D space-time $M^{2,10}$ of $(-, +, +, \dots, +, -)$ signature and metric

$$ds_{12}^2 = -dX_0^2 + dX_1^2 + \dots + dX_{10}^2 - dX_{11}^2 \quad (A.1)$$

Similarly, it is straightforward to show that the pp-wave background

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+dx^- - \mu^2 \vec{r}^2 dx^{+2} + d\vec{r}^2 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

can be embedded in $M^{2,10}$ as the hypersurface

$$X_{10} - X_{11} = (X_0 + X_9)^2, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$X_{10} + X_{11} = \frac{\mu^2}{8} (X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_8^2) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Parametrizing the above hypersurface by

$$X_1 = x_1, \quad X_2 = x_2, \quad \dots \quad X_8 = x_8, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$X_0 = \mu^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_8^2) \frac{x^+}{4} + x^- + x^+, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$X_9 = -\mu^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_8^2) \frac{x^+}{4} - x^- + x^+, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$X_{10} = \frac{\mu^2}{16} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_8^2) + 2x^{+2}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$X_{11} = \frac{\mu^2}{16} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_8^2) - 2x^{+2}, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

leads to the pp wave metric (A.2).

More general, the D-dimensional pp-wave metric

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+dx^- - 8S(x^i)dx^{+2} + d\vec{r}^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, D-2 \quad (\text{A.10})$$

can be embedded in the (D+2)-dimensional flat space time $M^{2,D}$ with metric

$$ds_{12}^2 = -dX_0^2 + dX_1^2 + \dots + dX_D^2 - dX_{D+1}^2, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

as

$$X_D - X_{D+1} = (X_0 + X_{D-1})^2, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$X_D + X_{D+1} = S(X^i), \quad (\text{A.13})$$

which can be parametrized as

$$X_1 = x_1, \quad X_2 = x_2, \quad \dots \quad X_{D-2} = x_{D-2}, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$X_0 = 2Sx^+ + x^- + x^+, \quad X_{D-1} = -2Sx^+ - x^- + x^+, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$X_D = \frac{S}{2} + 2x^{+2}, \quad X_{D+1} = \frac{S}{2} - 2x^{+2}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Emmbeding of the pp-wave as intersection of quadratic surfaces in $M^{2,D}$ has been discussed in [5].

B. A desingularized $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$ space

We will describe the metric of a non-compact 6D space with holonomy $SU(3)$ which is asymptotically $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$. For this, we start by considering the five-dimensional sphere S^5 , which is the surface

$$Z_1 \bar{Z}_1 + Z_2 \bar{Z}_2 + Z_3 \bar{Z}_3 = 1 ,$$

embedded in $\mathbb{C}^3$. By expressing the Z 's as [44]

$$Z_1 = \frac{e^{i\tau}}{(1+r^2)^{1/2}} , \quad Z_2 = \frac{re^{i(\tau+\frac{\chi+\varphi}{2})}}{(1+r^2)^{1/2}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} , \quad Z_3 = \frac{re^{i(\tau+\frac{\chi-\varphi}{2})}}{(1+r^2)^{1/2}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} , \quad (\text{B.1})$$

the metric of the S^5 turns out to be

$$ds^2(S^5) = d\Sigma_4^2 + (d\tau + A)^2 , \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where

$$d\Sigma_4^2 = \frac{dr^2}{(1+r^2)^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{r^2}{(1+r^2)} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{r^2}{(1+r^2)^2} (d\chi - \cos \theta d\varphi)^2 , \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$A = \frac{r^2}{2(1+r^2)} (d\chi - \cos \theta d\varphi) . \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The metric $d\Sigma_4$ is just the metric of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and eq.(B.3) makes manifest the Hopf-fibration of S^5 , that is a $U(1)$ bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^2$. We may search for $SU(3)$ -holonomy manifolds by making the ansatz

$$d\sigma^2 = f(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Sigma_4^2 + r^2 h(r)(d\tau + A)^2 , \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where r is a radial coordinate and $f(r), h(r)$ are functions of r which will be determined by demanding the manifold to be Ricci flat. Indeed, the Ricci-flatness condition for this geometry, turns out to be, for $f = 1/h$, the single equation

$$r^2 h h'' + 3 r h h' + r^2 h'^2 - 4 h^2 = 0 . \quad (\text{B.6})$$

The asymptotically locally flat solution of this equation is

$$h(r) = 1 - \frac{L^6}{r^6} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

and the metric is

$$d\sigma^2 = \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{L^6}{r^6}} + r^2 d\Sigma_4^2 + r^2 (1 - \frac{L^6}{r^6}) (d\tau + A)^2 . \quad (\text{B.8})$$

It seems that a singularity exist at $r = L$, which as one suspects can be cured. At $r = L$ we get

$$ds^2 \sim \frac{1}{9} (du^2 + 9 u^2 (d\tau + A)^2) + L^2 d\Sigma_4^2 \quad (\text{B.9})$$

and there exist a conical singularity, which is removed if the periodicity of τ is not 2π as it seems from (B.1), but rather $2\pi/3$. As a result, although at $r \rightarrow \infty$ the space is flat, it is not globally flat in view of the τ periodicity. In fact it is an ALE space, asymptotically $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$. That it is of $SU(3)$ holonomy can also be proven without much effort.

Note Added During the completion of this work, we became aware of the works [45], [46] and [47] where superstring on $AdS_5 \times S^5/\mathbb{Z}_N$ orbifolds are studied.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the European RTN networks HPRN-CT-2000-00122 and HPRN-CT-2000-00131.

References

- [1] D. Amati and C. Klimcik, “Strings In A Shock Wave Background And Generation Of Curved Geometry From Flat Space String Theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **210**, 92 (1988).
- [2] G. T. Horowitz and A. R. Steif, “Space-Time Singularities In String Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 260 (1990).
- [3] J. Figueroa-O’Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, “Homogeneous fluxes, branes and a maximally supersymmetric solution of M-theory,” *JHEP* **0108**, 036 (2001), hep-th/0105308;
M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, “A new maximally supersymmetric background of IIB superstring theory,” *JHEP* **0201**, 047 (2002), hep-th/0110242.
- [4] M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, “Penrose limits and maximal supersymmetry,” hep-th/0201081.
- [5] M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, “Penrose limits, supergravity and brane dynamics,” hep-th/0202111.
- [6] J. Kowalski-Glikman, “Vacuum States In Supersymmetric Kaluza-Klein Theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **134**, 194 (1984).
- [7] R. Penrose, “Any spacetime has a plane wave as a limit”, *Differential geometry and relativity*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1976.
- [8] R. Gueven, “Plane wave limits and T-duality,” *Phys. Lett. B* **482**, 255 (2000), hep-th/0005061.
- [9] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena and H. Nastase, “Strings in flat space and pp waves from $N = 4$ super Yang Mills,” hep-th/0202021.
- [10] W. Nahm, “Supersymmetries And Their Representations,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **135**, 149 (1978).

- [11] M. Hatsuda, K. Kamimura and M. Sakaguchi, “From super- $AdS(5) \times S^5$ algebra to super-pp-wave algebra,” hep-th/0202190.
- [12] A. Sen, “F-theory and Orientifolds,” Nucl. Phys. B **475**, 562 (1996), hep-th/9605150.
- [13] T. Banks, M. R. Douglas and N. Seiberg, “Probing F-theory with branes,” Phys. Lett. B **387**, 278 (1996), hep-th/9605199 .
- [14] K. Dasgupta and S. Mukhi, “F-theory at constant coupling,” Phys. Lett. B **385**, 125 (1996), hep-th/9606044.
- [15] P.C. Argyres and M.R. Douglas, “New Phenomena in $SU(3)$ Supersymmetric Gauge Theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **448**, 93 (1995), hep-th/9505062.
- [16] P.C. Argyres, M.R. Plesser, N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “New $N = 2$ Superconformal Field Theories in Four Dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. B **461**, 71 (1996), hep-th/9511154.
- [17] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, “Large N field theories, string theory and gravity,” Phys. Rept. **323**, 183 (2000), hep-th/9905111.
- [18] G. T. Horowitz, J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, “Nonextremal Black Hole Microstates and U-duality,” Phys. Lett. B **383**, 151 (1996), hep-th/9603109.
- [19] J. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1998)], hep-th/9711200.
- [20] R. R. Metsaev, “Type IIB Green-Schwarz superstring in plane wave Ramond-Ramond background,” Nucl. Phys. B **625**, 70 (2002), hep-th/0112044.
- [21] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, “Exactly solvable model of superstring in plane wave Ramond-Ramond background,” hep-th/0202109.
- [22] J. G. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, “On solvable models of type IIB superstring in NS-NS and R-R plane wave backgrounds,” hep-th/0202179.
- [23] A. A. Kehagias and P. A. Meessen, “Exact string background from a WZW model based on the Heisenberg group,” Phys. Lett. B **331**, 77 (1994), hep-th/9403041; A. A. Kehagias, “All WZW models in $D \leq 5$,” hep-th/9406136.
- [24] C. R. Nappi and E. Witten, “A WZW model based on a nonsemisimple group,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3751 (1993), hep-th/9310112.
- [25] K. Sfetsos, “Gauged WZW models and nonAbelian duality,” Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2784 (1994), hep-th/9402031.
- [26] A. Kehagias, “New type IIB vacua and their F-theory interpretation,” Phys. Lett. B **435**, 337 (1998), hep-th/9805131.
- [27] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, “Superconformal field theory on threebranes at a Calabi-Yau singularity,” Nucl. Phys. B **536**, 199 (1998), hep-th/9807080.
- [28] D. R. Morrison and M. R. Plesser, “Non-spherical horizons. I,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3**, 1 (1999), hep-th/9810201.
- [29] M. R. Douglas and G. W. Moore, “D-branes, Quivers, and ALE Instantons,” hep-th/9603167.
- [30] M. R. Douglas, B. R. Greene and D. R. Morrison, “Orbifold resolution by D-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **506**, 84 (1997), hep-th/9704151.

- [31] S. Kachru and E. Silverstein, “4d conformal theories and strings on orbifolds,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 4855 (1998), hep-th/9802183.
- [32] N. Itzhaki, I. R. Klebanov and S. Mukhi, “PP wave limit and enhanced supersymmetry in gauge theories,” hep-th/0202153.
- [33] J. Gomis and H. Ooguri, “Penrose limit of $N = 1$ gauge theories,” hep-th/0202157.
- [34] L. A. Zayas and J. Sonnenschein, “On Penrose limits and gauge theories,” hep-th/0202186.
- [35] G. T. Horowitz and D. Marolf, “A new approach to string cosmology,” *JHEP* **9807**, 014 (1998), hep-th/9805207.
- [36] Y. H. Gao, “AdS/CFT correspondence and quotient space geometry,” *JHEP* **9904**, 005 (1999), hep-th/9903080.
- [37] A. E. Lawrence, N. Nekrasov and C. Vafa, “On conformal field theories in four dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **533**, 199 (1998), hep-th/9803015.
- [38] D.R. Morrison and G. Stevens, “Terminal quotient singularities in dimensions three and four”, *Math. Comp.* **51** (1984) 15.
- [39] S. Ferrara, A. Kehagias, H. Partouche and A. Zaffaroni, “Membranes and fivebranes with lower supersymmetry and their AdS supergravity duals,” *Phys. Lett. B* **431**, 42 (1998), hep-th/9803109.
- [40] A. Giveon and D. Kutasov, “Brane dynamics and gauge theory,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 983 (1999), hep-th/9802067.
- [41] E. Witten, “Baryons and branes in anti de Sitter space,” *JHEP* **9807**, 006 (1998), hep-th/9805112.
- [42] O. Aharony, A. Fayyazuddin and J. Maldacena, “The large N limit of $N = 2,1$ field theories from three-branes in F-theory,” *JHEP* **9807**, 013 (1998), hep-th/9806159.
- [43] A. Fayyazuddin and M. Spalinski, “Large N superconformal gauge theories and supergravity orientifolds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **535**, 219 (1998), hep-th/9805096.
- [44] A. Kehagias and J. G. Russo, “Hyperbolic spaces in string and M-theory,” *JHEP* **0007**, 027 (2000), hep-th/0003281.
- [45] M. Alishahiha and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “The PP-wave limits of orbifolded $AdS(5) \times S^5$,” hep-th/0203018.
- [46] N. Kim, A. Pankiewicz, S. J. Rey and S. Theisen, “Superstring on PP-Wave Orbifold from Large- N Quiver Gauge Theory,” hep-th/0203080.
- [47] T. Takayanagi and S. Terashima, “Strings on Orbifolded PP-waves,” hep-th/0203093.